



ELSEVIER

Journal of Geometry and Physics 32 (2000) 241–251

JOURNAL OF  
GEOMETRY AND  
PHYSICS

# Generalized Weierstrass representation for surfaces in terms of Dirac–Hestenes spinor field

V.V. Varlamov

*Computer Division, Siberia State University of Industry, Novokuznetsk 654007, Russia*

Received 30 October 1998; received in revised form 19 March 1999

---

## Abstract

A representation of generalized Weierstrass formulae for an immersion of generic surfaces into a 4-dimensional complex space in terms of spinors treated as minimal left ideals of Clifford algebras is proposed. The relation between integrable deformations of surfaces via mVN-hierarchy and integrable deformations of spinor fields on the surface is also discussed. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Subj. Class.:* Spinors and twistors

*1991 MSC:* 53A05, 53A10, 15A66

*Keywords:* Weierstrass representation; Clifford algebras; Spinors

---

## 1. Introduction

The theory of integrable deformations and immersions of surfaces due its a close relationship with the theory of integrable systems at the present time is a rapid developing area of mathematical physics. One of the most powerful methods in this area is a Weierstrass representation for minimal surfaces [1], the generalization of which onto a case of generic surfaces was proposed by Konopelchenko in 1993 [2,3] served as a basis for the following investigations. So, the generalized Weierstrass formulae for conformal immersion of surfaces into 3-dimensional Euclidean space are used for the study of the basic quantities related to 2D gravity, such as Polyakov extrinsic action, Nambu-Goto action, geometric action and Euler characteristic [4]. This method is also intensively used for the study of constant mean curvature surfaces, Willmore surfaces, surfaces of revolution and in many other problems related with differential geometry [5–14]. A further generalization of Weierstrass representation onto a case of multidimensional Riemann spaces, in particular onto a case of 4-dimensional space with signature  $(+, +, +, -)$  (Minkowski space-time) has been proposed in the recent paper [15].

In the present paper we consider a relation between a Weierstrass representation in a 4-dimensional complex space  $\mathbb{C}^4$  and a Dirac–Hestenes spinor field which is defined in Minkowski space–time  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ . Dirac–Hestenes spinors were originally introduced in [16,17] for the formulation of a Dirac theory of electron with the usage of the space–time algebra  $Cl_{1,3}$  [18] in  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  (see also [19]). On the other hand, there is a very graceful formulation [20–23] of the Dirac–Hestenes theory in terms of modern interpretation of spinors as minimal left ideals of Clifford algebras [24,25], a brief review of which we give in Section 2. In Section 3 after a short historical introduction, generalized Weierstrass formulae in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  are rewritten in a spinor representation type form (matrix representation of a biquaternion algebra  $\mathbb{C}_2 \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})$ ) and are identified with the Dirac–Hestenes spinors, the matrix representation of which is also isomorphic to  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . It allows to use a well-known relation between Dirac–Hestenes and Dirac spinors [23,26] (see also [27]) to establish a relation between Weierstrass–Konopelchenko coordinates for surfaces immersed into  $\mathbb{C}^4$  and Dirac spinors. Integrable deformations of surfaces defined by a modified Veselov–Novikov equation and their relation with integrable deformations of Dirac field on surface are considered at the end of the Section 3.

## 2. Spinors as minimal left ideals of Clifford algebras

Let us consider a Clifford algebra  $Cl_{p,q}(V, Q)$  over a field  $\mathbf{K}$  of characteristic 0 ( $\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{K} = \Omega = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ), where  $V$  is a vector space endowed with a nondegenerate quadratic form

$$Q = x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2.$$

The algebra  $Cl_{p,q}$  is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded. Let  $Cl_{p,q}^+$  (resp.  $Cl_{p,q}^-$ ) be a set consisting of all even (resp. odd) elements of algebra  $Cl_{p,q}$ . The set  $Cl_{p,q}^+$  is a subalgebra of  $Cl_{p,q}$ . It is obvious that  $Cl_{p,q} = Cl_{p,q}^+ \oplus Cl_{p,q}^-$ .

When  $n$  is odd, a volume element  $\omega = e_{12\dots p+q}$  commutes with all elements of algebra  $Cl_{p,q}$  and therefore belongs to a center of  $Cl_{p,q}$ . Thus, in the case of  $n$  is odd we have for a center

$$\mathbf{Z}_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \omega^2 = -1, \\ \mathbb{R} \oplus e\mathbb{R} & \text{if } \omega^2 = +1, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where  $e$  is a double unit. In the case of  $n$  is even the center of  $Cl_{p,q}$  consists the unit of algebra.

Let  $\mathbf{R}_{p,q} = Cl_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{p,q}, Q)$  be a real Clifford algebra ( $V = \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$  is a real space). Analogously, in the case of a complex space we have  $\mathbf{C}_{p,q} = Cl_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^{p,q}, Q)$ . Moreover, it is obvious that  $\mathbf{C}_{p,q} \cong \mathbf{C}_n$ , where  $n = p + q$ . Further, let us consider the following most important in physics Clifford algebras and their isomorphisms to matrix algebras:

quaternions	$\mathbf{R}_{0,2} = \mathbf{H}$ ,
biquaternions	$\mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{R}_{3,0} \cong \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{C})$ ,
space–time algebra	$\mathbf{R}_{1,3} \cong \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{H})$ ,
Dirac algebra	$\mathbf{C}_4 = \mathbf{R}_{4,1} \cong \mathbf{M}_4(\mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{C}_2)$ .

The identity  $\mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{R}_{3,0}$  for a biquaternion algebra known in physics as a Pauli algebra is immediately obtained from the definition of the center of the algebra  $C\ell_{p,q}$  (1). Namely, for  $\mathbf{R}_{3,0}$  we have a volume element  $\omega = \mathbf{e}_{123} \in \mathbf{Z}_{3,0} = \mathbf{R} \oplus i\mathbf{R}$ , since  $\omega^2 = -1$ . The identity  $\mathbf{C}_4 = \mathbf{R}_{4,1}$  is analogously proved. The isomorphism  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1} \cong \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{C}_2)$  is a consequence of an algebraic modulo 2 periodicity of complex Clifford algebras:  $\mathbf{C}_4 \cong \mathbf{C}_2 \otimes \mathbf{C}_2 \cong \mathbf{C}_2 \otimes \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{C}_2)$  [28–30].

The left (resp. right) ideal of algebra  $C\ell_{p,q}$  is defined by the expression  $C\ell_{p,q}e$  (resp.  $eC\ell_{p,q}$ ), where  $e$  is an idempotent satisfying the condition  $e^2 = e$ . Analogously, a minimal left (resp. right) ideal is a set of type  $I_{p,q} = C\ell_{p,q}e_{pq}$  (resp.  $e_{pq}C\ell_{p,q}$ ), where  $e_{pq}$  is a primitive idempotent, i.e.,  $e_{pq}^2 = e_{pq}$  and  $e_{pq}$  cannot be represented as a sum of two orthogonal idempotents, i.e.,  $e_{pq} \neq f_{pq} + g_{pq}$ , where  $f_{pq}g_{pq} = g_{pq}f_{pq} = 0$ ,  $f_{pq}^2 = f_{pq}$ ,  $g_{pq}^2 = g_{pq}$ . In the general case a primitive idempotent has a form [20]

$$e_{pq} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_1})\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_2}) \cdots \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_k}), \tag{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{\alpha_k}$  are commuting elements of the canonical basis of  $C\ell_{p,q}$  such that  $(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha_i})^2 = 1$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). The values of  $k$  are defined by a formula

$$k = q - r_{q-p}, \tag{3}$$

where  $r_i$  are the Radon–Hurwitz numbers, values of which form a cycle of the period 8:

$$r_{i+8} = r_i + 4. \tag{4}$$

The values of all  $r_i$  are

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$r_i$	0	1	2	2	3	3	3	3

For example, let consider a minimal left ideal of the space–time algebra  $\mathbf{R}_{1,3}$ . The Radon–Hurwitz number for algebra  $\mathbf{R}_{1,3}$  is equal to  $r_{q-p} = r_2 = 2$ , and therefore from (3) we have  $k = 1$ . The primitive idempotent of  $\mathbf{R}_{1,3}$  has a form

$$e_{13} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{e}_0),$$

or  $e_{13} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_0)$ , where  $\Gamma_0$  is a matrix representation of the unit  $\mathbf{e}_0 \in \mathbf{R}_{1,3}$ . Thus, a minimal left ideal of  $\mathbf{R}_{1,3}$  is defined by the expression

$$I_{1,3} = \mathbf{R}_{1,3}\frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_0). \tag{5}$$

Analogously, for the Dirac algebra  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1}$  on using the recurrence formula (4) we obtain  $k = 1 - r_{-3} = 1 - (r_5 - 4) = 2$ , and a primitive idempotent of  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1}$  may be defined as follows:

$$e_{41} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_0)\frac{1}{2}(1 + i\Gamma_{12}), \tag{6}$$

where  $\Gamma_{12} = \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$  are matrix representations of the units of  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1} = \mathbf{C}_4$ :

$$\Gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further, for a minimal left ideal of Dirac algebra  $I_{4,1} = \mathbf{R}_{4,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \Gamma_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + i\Gamma_{12})$  using the isomorphisms  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1} = \mathbf{C}_4 = \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{1,3} \cong \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{C}_2)$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1}^+ \cong \mathbf{R}_{1,3} \cong \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{H})$  and also an identity  $\mathbf{R}_{1,3}e_{13} = \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^+e_{13}$  [22,23] we have the following expression [27]:

$$I_{4,1} = \mathbf{R}_{4,1}e_{41} = (\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{1,3})e_{41} \cong \mathbf{R}_{4,1}^+e_{41} \cong \mathbf{R}_{1,3}e_{41} = R_{1,3}e_{13}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + i\Gamma_{12}) = \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^+e_{13}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + i\Gamma_{12}). \tag{7}$$

Let  $\Phi \in \mathbf{R}_{4,1} \cong \mathbf{M}_4(\mathbf{C})$  be a Dirac spinor and  $\phi \in \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^+ \cong \mathbf{R}_{3,0} = \mathbf{C}_2$  be a Dirac–Hestenes spinor. Then from (7) the relation immediately follows between spinors  $\Phi$  and  $\phi$ :

$$\Phi = \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \Gamma_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + i\Gamma_{12}). \tag{8}$$

Since  $\phi \in \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^+ \cong \mathbf{R}_{3,0}$ , the Dirac–Hestenes spinor can be represented by a biquaternion number

$$\phi = a^0 + a^{01}\Gamma_{01} + a^{02}\Gamma_{02} + a^{03}\Gamma_{03} + a^{12}\Gamma_{12} + a^{13}\Gamma_{13} + a^{23}\Gamma_{23} + a^{0123}\Gamma_{0123}. \tag{9}$$

Or in the matrix representation

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & -\phi_2^* & \phi_3 & \phi_4^* \\ \phi_2 & \phi_1^* & \phi_4 & -\phi_3^* \\ \phi_3 & \phi_4^* & \phi_1 & -\phi_2^* \\ \phi_4 & -\phi_3^* & \phi_2 & \phi_1^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_i \in \mathbf{C}, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\phi_1 = a^0 - ia^{12}, \quad \phi_2 = a^{31} - ia^{23}, \quad \phi_3 = a^{03} - ia^{0123}, \quad \phi_4 = a^{01} + ia^{02}.$$

Finally, from (8) it follows that for the Dirac spinor  $\Phi$  and also a space–time spinor  $Z = \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \Gamma_0)$  we have expressions

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & -\phi_2^* & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_2 & \phi_1^* & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_3 & \phi_4^* & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_4 & -\phi_3^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which are minimal left ideals of algebras  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{1,3}$ , respectively.

The Dirac spinor  $\Phi$  may be considered as a vector in the 4-dimensional complex space  $\mathbf{C}^4$  associated with the algebra  $\mathbf{C}_4$ . However, from a physical point of view it is more natural to consider the spinor  $\Phi$  in space–time  $\mathbf{R}^{1,3}$ . In connection with this, let us introduce (following [21–23,26]) a more rigorous definition of spinor as a minimal left ideal of algebra  $\mathcal{C}\ell_{p,q}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}_\Sigma = \{\Sigma_0, \dot{\Sigma}, \ddot{\Sigma}, \dots\}$  be a set of all ordered orthonormal bases for  $\mathbf{R}^{p,q}$ . Any two bases  $\Sigma_0, \dot{\Sigma} \in \mathfrak{B}_\Sigma$  are related by the element of the group  $\text{Spin}_+(p, q)$ :

$$\dot{\Sigma} = u \Sigma_0 u^{-1}, \quad u \in \text{Spin}_+(p, q).$$

Analogously, for the primitive idempotents defined in the basis  $\Sigma \in \mathfrak{B}_\Sigma$  and denoted as  $e_{\Sigma_0}, e_{\dot{\Sigma}}, \dots$ , we have  $e_{\dot{\Sigma}} = u e_{\Sigma_0} u^{-1}, u \in \text{Spin}_+(p, q)$ . Then the ideals  $I_{\Sigma_0}, I_{\dot{\Sigma}}, I_{\ddot{\Sigma}}, \dots$  are geometrically equivalent if and only if

$$I_{\dot{\Sigma}} = u I_{\Sigma_0} u^{-1}, \quad u \in \text{Spin}_+(p, q),$$

or, since  $u I_{\Sigma_0} = I_{\Sigma_0}$ :

$$I_{\dot{\Sigma}} = I_{\Sigma_0} u^{-1}.$$

Therefore, an algebraic spinor for  $\mathbf{R}^{p,q}$  is an equivalence class of the quotient set  $\{I_\Sigma\} / \mathbf{R}$ , where  $\{I_\Sigma\}$  is a set of all geometrically equivalent ideals, and  $\Phi_{\Sigma_0} \in I_{\Sigma_0}$  and  $\Phi_{\dot{\Sigma}} \in I_{\dot{\Sigma}}$  are equivalent,  $\Phi_{\dot{\Sigma}} \cong \Phi_{\Sigma_0} \pmod{\mathbf{R}}$  if and only if  $\Phi_{\dot{\Sigma}} = \Phi_{\Sigma_0} u^{-1}, u \in \text{Spin}_+(p, q)$ .

### 3. Weierstrass representation for surfaces in space $\mathbf{C}^4$

Historically, the Weierstrass representation [1] appeared in the result of the following variational problem: among the surfaces restricted by some curve for finding such a surface, the area of which is minimal, i.e., it is necessary to find a minimum of the functional

$$S = \iint \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2} \, dx \, dy,$$

where  $p = dz/dx, q = dz/dy, z = f(x, y)$  is an equation of the surface. The Euler equation for this problem has a form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{p}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{q}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right) = 0.$$

This equation expresses a main geometrical property of such a surface: in each point the mean curvature is equal to zero. The surface which possesses such a property is called a *minimal surface*. If we compare a region  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the surface with a region  $\mathfrak{E}$  of the flat surface

so that the point on  $\mathcal{M}$  with the coordinates  $(X^1, X^2, X^3)$  corresponds to a point  $w = u + iv$  of region  $\mathcal{E}$ , then for the minimal surface we have the equations

$$\frac{\partial^2 X^1}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 X^1}{\partial v^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 X^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 X^2}{\partial v^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 X^3}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 X^3}{\partial v^2} = 0,$$

solutions of which are of the form

$$X^1 = \operatorname{Re} f(w), \quad X^2 = \operatorname{Re} g(w), \quad X^3 = \operatorname{Re} h(w),$$

at

$$(f'(w))^2 + (g'(w))^2 + (h'(w))^2 = 0.$$

The functions satisfying this equation are

$$f'(w) = i(G^2 + H^2), \quad g'(w) = G^2 - H^2, \quad h'(w) = 2GH,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X^1 &= C^1 + \operatorname{Re} \int_{w_0}^w i(G^2 + H^2) dw, \\ X^2 &= C^2 + \operatorname{Re} \int_{w_0}^w (G^2 - H^2) dw, \\ X^3 &= C^3 + 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{w_0}^w GH dw. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here  $G(w)$  and  $H(w)$  are holomorphic functions defined in a circle or in all complex plane. After substitution of variables,

$$s = \xi + i\eta = \frac{H(w)}{G(w)}, \quad G^2 \frac{dw}{ds} = F(s),$$

the equations (11) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} dX^1 &= \operatorname{Re}[i(1 + s^2)F(s) ds], \\ dX^2 &= \operatorname{Re}[(1 - s^2)F(s) ds], \\ dX^3 &= \operatorname{Re}[2sF(s) ds]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for an every analytic function  $F(s)$  we have a minimal surface.

Further, let us consider generalized Weierstrass representation for surfaces immersed into 4-dimensional complex space  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , which, as known, is associated with the Dirac algebra  $\mathbb{C}_4$ . In this case generalized Weierstrass formulae have a form

$$\begin{aligned}
 X^1 &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (\psi_1 \psi_2 d\bar{z} - \varphi_1 \varphi_2 dz), \\
 X^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (\psi_1 \psi_2 d\bar{z} + \varphi_1 \varphi_2 dz), \\
 X^3 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (\psi_1 \varphi_2 d\bar{z} - \varphi_1 \psi_2 dz), \\
 X^4 &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (\psi_1 \varphi_2 d\bar{z} + \varphi_1 \psi_2 dz), \\
 \psi_{\alpha z} &= p\varphi_{\alpha}, \quad \varphi_{\alpha \bar{z}} = -p\psi_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{13}$$

where  $\psi, \varphi$  and  $p$  are complex-valued functions on variables  $z, \bar{z} \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a contour in complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . We will interpret the functions  $X^i(z, \bar{z})$  as the coordinates in  $\mathbf{C}^4$ . It is easy to verify that components of an induced metric have a form

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{zz} &= \overline{g_{z\bar{z}}} = \sum_{i=1}^4 (X_z^i)^2 = 0, \\
 g_{z\bar{z}} &= \sum_{i=1}^4 (X_z^i X_{\bar{z}}^i) = \psi_1 \psi_2 \varphi_1 \varphi_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the formulae (12) and (13) define a conformal immersion of the surface into  $\mathbf{C}^4$  with an induced metric

$$ds^2 = \psi_1 \psi_2 \varphi_1 \varphi_2 dz d\bar{z}.$$

The formulae (12) may be rewritten in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(X^1 + iX^2) &= i\psi_1 \psi_2 d\bar{z}, \\
 d(X^1 - iX^2) &= -i\varphi_1 \varphi_2 dz, \\
 d(X^4 + iX^3) &= i\psi_1 \varphi_2 d\bar{z}, \\
 d(X^4 - iX^3) &= i\varphi_1 \psi_2 dz,
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$d(X^4 \sigma_0 + X^1 \sigma_1 + X^2 \sigma_2 + X^3 \sigma_3) = i \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \psi_2 dz & \psi_1 \psi_2 d\bar{z} \\ \varphi_1 \varphi_2 dz & \psi_1 \varphi_2 d\bar{z} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

are matrix representations of the units of quaternion algebra  $\mathbf{R}_{0,2} = \mathbf{H} : e_i \rightarrow \sigma_i (i = 0, 1, 2), e_{21} \rightarrow \sigma_3$ . It is easy to see that the left part of the expression (14) is a biquaternion  $\mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{0,2}$ . Recalling that  $\mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{R}_{3,0}$  and a volume element  $\omega = e_{123} \in \mathbf{R}_{3,0}$  belongs

to a center  $\mathbf{Z}_{3,0} = \mathbf{R} \oplus i\mathbf{R}$ , we can write a biquaternion  $X^4\mathbf{e}_0 + X^1\mathbf{e}_1 + X^2\mathbf{e}_2 + X^3\mathbf{e}_3$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_1^2 = \mathbf{e}_2^2 = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_3 = \mathbf{e}_{21} = \mathbf{e}_2\mathbf{e}_1$ , in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}X^4\mathbf{e}_0 + \operatorname{Re}X^1\mathbf{e}_1 + \operatorname{Re}X^2\mathbf{e}_2 + \operatorname{Re}X^3\mathbf{e}_3 \\ & + \operatorname{Im}X^3\mathbf{e}_{12} + \operatorname{Im}X^2\mathbf{e}_{31} + \operatorname{Im}X^1\mathbf{e}_{23} + \operatorname{Im}X^4\mathbf{e}_{123} \\ & = (\operatorname{Re}X^4 + \omega \operatorname{Im}X^4)\mathbf{e}_0 + (\operatorname{Re}X^1 + \omega \operatorname{Im}X^1)\mathbf{e}_1 \\ & \quad + (\operatorname{Re}X^2 + \omega \operatorname{Im}X^2)\mathbf{e}_2 + (\operatorname{Re}X^3 + \omega \operatorname{Im}X^3)\mathbf{e}_3 \\ & = X^4\mathbf{e}_0 + X^1\mathbf{e}_1 + X^2\mathbf{e}_2 + X^3\mathbf{e}_3. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Further, by means of isomorphisms  $\mathbf{R}_{3,0} \cong \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^+$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1}^{++} \cong \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^+ \cong \mathbf{R}_{3,0}$  the biquaternion (15) may be rewritten as (like (9)):

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \operatorname{Re}X^4I + \operatorname{Re}X^1\Gamma_{01} + \operatorname{Re}X^2\Gamma_{02} + \operatorname{Re}X^3\Gamma_{03} \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Im}X^3\Gamma_{12} + \operatorname{Im}X^2\Gamma_{31} + \operatorname{Im}X^1\Gamma_{23} + \operatorname{Im}X^4\Gamma_{0123}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Or in the form (10) if suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &= \operatorname{Re}X^4 - i \operatorname{Im}X^3, \\ \phi_2 &= \operatorname{Im}X^2 - i \operatorname{Im}X^1, \\ \phi_3 &= \operatorname{Re}X^3 - i \operatorname{Im}X^4, \\ \phi_4 &= \operatorname{Re}X^1 + i \operatorname{Re}X^2. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The formulae (17) define a relation between Weierstrass–Konopelchenko coordinates and Dirac–Hestenes spinors. This relation is a direct consequence of an isomorphism  $\mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{0,2} = \mathbf{R}_{3,0} \cong \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^+$ . Further, using the idempotent  $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_0)\frac{1}{2}(1 + i\Gamma_{12})$  it is easy to establish (by means of (8)) a relation with the Dirac spinor treated as a minimal left ideal of algebra  $\mathbf{R}_{4,1} = \mathbf{C}_4 \cong \mathbf{M}_4(\mathbf{C})$ :

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is obvious that we cannot directly identify the spinor defined by the formulae (17) with a generic “physical” spinor of electron theory, because in accordance with (17) and (12)–(13) the spinor  $\phi$  depends only on two variables  $z, \bar{z}$ , or  $x^1, x^2$  if suppose  $z = x^1 + ix^2$ , whilst a physical spinor with four components depends on four variables  $x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4$ . By this reason we will call the spinor defined by the identities (17) as a *surface spinor*, and respectively the field  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4)^T$  will be called a *Dirac spinor field on surface*. The relationship between a surface spinor  $\phi(z, \bar{z})$  and a physical (space) spinor  $\phi(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4)$ , and also a relation with the spinor representations of surfaces in spaces  $\mathbf{R}^{p,q}$  will be considered in a separate paper.

Further, according to Section 2, an algebraic Dirac spinor for  $\mathbf{R}^{1,3}$  is an element of  $\{I_\Sigma\} / \mathbf{R}$ . Then if  $\Phi_{\Sigma_0} \in I_{\Sigma_0}$ ,  $\Phi_{\Sigma} \in I_{\Sigma}$ , then  $\Phi_{\Sigma} \simeq \Phi_{\Sigma_0} \pmod{\mathbf{R}}$  if and only if

$$\Phi_{\Sigma} = \Phi_{\Sigma_0}u^{-1}, \quad u \in \operatorname{Spin}_+(1, 3). \tag{18}$$



Here in accordance with (8)

$$\Phi_{\Sigma_0} = \phi_{\Sigma_0} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_0) \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\Gamma_{12}).$$

The formula (18) defines a transformation law of the Dirac spinor. It is obvious that a transformation group of the biquaternion (14) is also isomorphic to  $\text{Spin}_+(1, 3)$ , since

$$\text{Spin}_+(1, 3) \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}_2 : \det \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = 1 \right\} = \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{SL}(2; \mathbb{C})$  is a double covering of the own Lorentz group  $\mathbb{E}_+^4$ . Therefore, the transformations of Weierstrass–Konopelchenko coordinates for surfaces immersed into  $\mathbb{C}^4$  are induced (via the relations (17)) transformation of a Dirac field  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4)^T$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ , where  $\Phi \in \mathbf{M}_4(\mathbb{C})e_{41}$  is the minimal left ideal of  $\mathbb{R}_{4,1} \cong \mathbf{M}_4(\mathbb{C})$  defined in some orthonormal basis  $\Sigma \in \mathfrak{B}_\Sigma$ .

On the other hand, if suppose (following [2,3,15]) that the functions  $p, \psi_\alpha$  and  $\varphi_\alpha$  in (13) depend on the deformation parameter  $t$ , then the deformations of  $\psi_\alpha$  and  $\varphi_\alpha$  are defined by the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\alpha t} &= A\psi_\alpha + B\varphi_\alpha, \\ \varphi_{\alpha t} &= C\psi_\alpha + D\varphi_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \tag{19}$$

where  $A, B, C, D$  are differential operators. The equations (19) define integrable deformations of surfaces immersed in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . Let  $p$  be a real-valued function; the compatibility condition of (19) with (13) is equivalent to the nonlinear partial differential equation for  $p$ . In the simplest nontrivial case ( $A, B, C, D$  are first order operators) it is a modified Veselov–Novikov equation [31]:

$$\begin{aligned} p_t + p_{zzz} + p_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}} + 3p_z\omega + 3p_{\bar{z}}\bar{\omega} + \frac{3}{2}p\bar{\omega}_{\bar{z}} + \frac{3}{2}p\omega_z &= 0, \\ \omega_{\bar{z}} &= (p^2)_z. \end{aligned}$$

Varying operators  $A, B, C, D$  one gets an infinite hierarchy of integrable equations for  $p$  (modified Veselov–Novikov hierarchy [32,33,31,2]). It is obvious that the deformation of  $\psi_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha$  via (19) induced the deformations of the coordinates  $X^i(z, \bar{z}, t)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . Moreover, according to (14) and (16) treated as a matrix representation of the Dirac–Hestenes spinor field  $\phi$ , we may say that the mVN-deformation generates a deformation of the Dirac field  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4)^T$ .

### Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Prof. B.G. Konopelchenko whose articles and preprints are the ground for this work.

## References

- [1] K. Weierstrass, Untersuchungen über die Flächen, deren mittlere Krümmung überall gleich Null ist, Monatsber. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1866) 612–625.
- [2] B.G. Konopelchenko, Induced surfaces and their integrable dynamics, Stud. Appl. Math. 96 (1996) 9–51; preprint, Institute of Nuclear Physics, N 93-144, Novosibirsk, 1993.
- [3] B.G. Konopelchenko, Multidimensional integrable systems and dynamics of surfaces in space, preprint, Institute of Mathematics, Taipei, August 1993.
- [4] R. Carroll, B.G. Konopelchenko, Generalized Weierstrass–Enneper inducing, conformal immersion and gravity, Int. J. Modern Phys. A 11 (1996) 1183–1216.
- [5] B.G. Konopelchenko, I.A. Taimanov, Generalized Weierstrass formulae, soliton equations and Willmore surfaces, preprint N.187, Univ. Bochum, 1995.
- [6] B.G. Konopelchenko, I.A. Taimanov, Constant mean curvature surfaces via an integrable dynamical system, J. Phys. A 29 (1996) 1261–1265.
- [7] I.A. Taimanov, Modified Novikov–Veselov equation and differential geometry of surfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Ser. 2, 179 (1997) 133–159.
- [8] I.A. Taimanov, Surfaces of revolution in terms of solitons, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 15 (5) (1997) 419–435.
- [9] I.A. Taimanov, The Weierstrass representation of closed surfaces in  $R^3$ , preprint dg-ga/9710020 (1997).
- [10] I.A. Taimanov, Global Weierstrass representation and its spectrum, Uspechi Mat. Nauk 52 (6) (1997) 187–188.
- [11] P.G. Grinevich, M.V. Schmidt, Conformal invariant functionals of immersion of tori into  $R^3$ , J. Geomet. and Phys. 26 (1–2) (1998) 51–78.
- [12] B.G. Konopelchenko, G. Landolfi, On classical string configurations, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12 (1997) 3161–3168.
- [13] R. Kusner, N. Schmitt, The spinor representation of surfaces in space, preprint dg-ga/9610005 (1996).
- [14] T. Friedrich, On the spinor representation of surfaces in Euclidean 3-spaces, J. Geom. Phys. 28 (1998) 143–157.
- [15] B.G. Konopelchenko, G. Landolfi, Generalized Weierstrass representation for surfaces in multidimensional Riemann spaces, preprint math. DG/9804144, 1998; J. Geomet. and Phys. 29 (1999) 319–333.
- [16] D. Hestenes, Real spinor fields, J. Math. Phys. 8 (1967) 798–808.
- [17] D. Hestenes, Observables, operators, and complex numbers in the Dirac theory, J. Math. Phys. 16 (1976) 556–571.
- [18] D. Hestenes, Space-Time Algebra, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1987.
- [19] D. Hestenes, G. Sobczyk, Clifford algebra to Geometrical Calculus, D. Heidel Publ., Dordrecht, 1984.
- [20] P. Lounesto, Scalar product of spinors and an extension of Brauer–Wall groups, Found. Phys. 11 (1981) 721–740.
- [21] P. Lounesto, Clifford algebras and Hestenes spinors, Found. Phys. 23 (1993) 1203–1237.
- [22] V.L. Figueiredo, W.A. Rodrigues Jr., E.C. Oliveira, Covariant, algebraic, and operator spinors, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 29 (1990) 371–395.
- [23] V.L. Figueiredo, W.A. Rodrigues Jr., E.C. Oliveira, Clifford algebras and the hidden geometrical nature of spinors, Algebras, Groups and Geometries 7 (1990) 153–198.
- [24] A. Crumeyrolle, The primitive idempotents of the Clifford algebras and the amorphic spinor fibre bundles, Reports on Math. Phys. 25 (1987) 305–328.
- [25] A. Crumeyrolle, Orthogonal and Symplectic Clifford Algebras, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [26] W.A. Rodrigues Jr., Q.A.G. De Souza, J. Vaz Jr., P. Lounesto, Dirac–Hestenes spinor fields in Riemann–Cartan spacetime, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 35 (1996) 1849–1900.
- [27] J. Vaz Jr., W.A. Rodrigues Jr., Maxwell and Dirac theories as an already unified theory, preprint hep-th/9511181, to appear in: J. Keller, Oziewicz (Eds.), Proceedings of the International Conference on the Theory of the Electron, UNAM, Mexico, 1995.
- [28] M.F. Atiyah, R. Bott, A. Shapiro, Clifford modules, Topology 3 (Suppl. 1) (1964) 3–38.
- [29] I. Porteous, Topological Geometry, van Nostrand, London, 1969.
- [30] M. Karoubi, K-Theory, Spinger, Berlin, 1979.

- [31] L.V. Bogdanov, Veselov–Novikov equation as a natural two-dimensional generalization of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 70 (1987) 309–314.
- [32] A.P. Veselov, S.P. Novikov, Finite-zone, two-dimensional potential Schrödinger operators. Explicit formulas and evolution equations, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 30 (1984) 588–591.
- [33] A.P. Veselov, S.P. Novikov, Finite-zone, two-dimensional Schrödinger operators. Potential operators, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 30 (1984) 705–708.